

The Language of Mathematical Exposition (Gradually Introduced Grades 7-12)

All teachers are concerned with vocabulary, sentence structure, and reading and writing skills. Mathematics teachers also employ a special symbolic language in which letters are used to represent statements, variables, etc. Essential components of this language are:

1. Set Language: Intersection \cap , union \cup , inclusions \subseteq , proper inclusion \subset , is a member of \in , is not a member of \notin , and empty set \emptyset . Set builder notation $\{x | \sqrt{x-3} > 5\}$.
2. Definitions and assumptions as a basis of reasoning.
3. Quantification involving "some", "all" (\forall) and "there exists" (\exists), etc. Single exception destroys an "all statement".
4. Statements. A statement is a positive declaration with no attempt at proof.
Example: In any group of eight people at least two have birthdays on the same day of the week.
5. Equality. The statement " $a = b$ " means that a and b are names for the same thing.
6. Conjunction. The statement " p and q ", written " $p \wedge q$ " is the conjunction of p and q . It says that both p and q are true.
7. Disjunction. The statement " p or q ", written " $p \vee q$ " is the disjunction of p and q . It says that at least one of the two statements is true.
8. Implication: The statement " p implies q ", written " $p \Rightarrow q$ " is an implication. It can also be written as "If p then q ", where p is the hypothesis and q is the conclusion. An implication is true in all cases except when the hypothesis is true and the conclusion is false.
9. Equivalence. Two statements, a and b , are logically equivalent, written " $a \Leftrightarrow b$ ", if each implies the other.
10. Negation. The statement " p is false", written " $\sim p$ ", and read "not p "; is the negation of statement p .
11. Contradiction. A statement that is equivalent to the negation of p is a contradiction of p and can also be written as $\sim p$. Two statements are contradictory if exactly one of them is true; i. e. each is equivalent to the negation of the other. Example: *Some Scandinavians are vegetarians* ($S \cap V \neq \emptyset$) and *No Scandinavians are vegetarians* ($S \cap V = \emptyset$) are contradictory statements.
12. Double negative. $\sim(\sim p) \Leftrightarrow p$.
13. Contradicting conjunctions, disjunctions and implications.
 $\sim(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p \vee \sim q)$
 $\sim(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$
 $(p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p \vee q) \therefore \sim(p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge \sim q)$
14. Converse, inverse and contrapositive. For any implication $p \Rightarrow q$ we have
 Converse: $q \Rightarrow p$
 Inverse: $\sim p \Rightarrow \sim q$
 Contrapositive: $\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p$
15. Equivalence of an implication and its contrapositive:
 $(p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow [\sim p \vee \sim(\sim q)] \Leftrightarrow [\sim(\sim q) \vee \sim p] \Leftrightarrow (\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p)$

16. **Distributive Laws:** $[a \vee (b \wedge c)] \Leftrightarrow [(a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)]$;
 $[a \wedge (b \vee c)] \Leftrightarrow [(a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)]$.
17. **Tautologies:** A composite statement is a statement formed from other statements a, b, c, \dots by using some of the connectives \wedge, \vee and \Rightarrow . The statements a, b, c, \dots are the components of the composite statement. A composite statement that is true for all possible truth values (T or F) of its components is called a tautology. Examples:

$$(i) a \vee \sim a \quad (ii) \left. \begin{array}{l} p \\ (p \Rightarrow q) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow q \text{ or } [p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q)] \Rightarrow q \quad (iii) \left(\begin{array}{l} \sim p \\ \sim q \end{array} \Rightarrow q \right) \Rightarrow p$$

$$(iv) [p \wedge q \wedge (p \Rightarrow x) \wedge (q \Rightarrow y) \wedge [(x \wedge y) \Rightarrow z]] \Rightarrow z.$$

Note: (ii), (iii) and (iv) are proof patterns.

18. a. **Partial contrapositive and partial converse.** In most theorems of the form $p \Rightarrow q$, the hypothesis p is the conjunction of several component statements. If there are four such component statements, the theorem takes this form:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow q \text{ This statement has four partial contrapositives, each obtained by}$$

exchanging a contradiction of q with a contradiction of one of the four statements in the hypothesis. **Each one of these contrapositive forms is equivalent to the original theorem.** (Some of these contrapositives may also have the same verbal sense.) This theorem also has four converses, each obtained by exchanging q with one of the four statements in the hypothesis. **Each of these converses is a conjecture which may or may not be true.** (Some of these converses may be equivalent.)

b. **Generalized definition of converses (Lazar)** If a theorem has m statements in it hypothesis and n statments in its conclusion, a converse may be formed by exchanging any number of statements in the conclusion with the same number of statements in the hypothesis. Each of the $C_n^{m+n} - 1$ converses is a conjecture whose truth value must be determined, and some may have the same verbal expression.

19. An argument is an assertion that the conjunction of premises a, b, c, \dots implies a conclusion x . In bracket form it looks like this:

$$[I.] \left. \begin{array}{l} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow x. \text{ An implication like [I.] can be a tautology (Compare with 17 (iv)). If}$$

so, it is a valid argument.

20. **Proof:** A valid argument with true premises is a proof of its conclusion.